



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 12/04/2001 5038-138 2907 10/006,171 Jeremy Burr EXAMINER 05/26/2004 MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. PRIZIO JR, PETER 1030 S.W. Morrison Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Portland, OR 97205 2674

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/006,	6,171 BURR ET AL.			
		Examine	er .	Art Unit		
		Peter Pr	rizio	2674		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence address -	-	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGN SOLD IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGN SOLD IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. D) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tir atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.	
Status						
1)	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen			4) []	(PTO 442)		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 8 March 2004.

Claim Status

- 2. Claims 1 30 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1 30 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 10-12, 14, 18-20, 22, 23, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Application Laid-Open No. P2001 159948A to Shimono in view of US Patent 5,420,379 to Zank et al.
- 6. Regarding claim 1, Shimono teaches a system for inductively transferring electrical power to a computer peripheral device during normal operation (Drawings 1, 3 & 17) including: a source loop (Lx), a loop power circuit (3, 4, 8 & 9), a power source coupler (Vcc, Gnd), a peripheral device (1) having a victim loop (Lm) to be inductively coupled to the base unit (Detailed Description, Paragraph 18). Shimono teaches a planer source for producing a magnetic field is silent and lacks the teaching of a three-dimensional structure i.e. a solenoid.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,171 Page 3

A - Ll - H- 0074

Art Unit: 2674

7. However, Zank (Fig. 1) teaches a position input system that comprises a source loop solenoid (22, for example: column 8, lines 14 - 36) to generate a magnetic field (for example: column 8, lines 60+).

- 8. Though Zank is directed toward a stylus system, it is ultimately a coordinate position input system i.e. a position transducer (column 8, lines 8 12) and since a mouse is considered a position input device (column 1, lines 10 12) it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the planer coil as taught by Shimono with the helical coil as taught by Zank for the benefit of creating a wireless mouse system utilizing helical coils in the pad and the mouse ultimately increasing accuracy, repeatability, range and resolution (for example: column 2, lines 30 34).
- 9. Regarding claim 2, Shimono (Drawing 1), as applied to claim 1, teaches a mouse(1).
- 10. Regarding claim 3, Shimono (Drawing 1), as applied to claim 2, teaches a base unit incorporated in a mouse pad (2) (Detailed Description, Paragraph 11).
- 11. Regarding claim 4, Shimono (Drawing 16), as applied to claim 1, teaches a base unit comprising a first area with higher magnetic permeability (16) and a second area (14).
- 12. Regarding claim 5, Shimono (Drawing 16), as applied to claim 1, teaches a peripheral device comprising a first area with higher magnetic permeability (13) and a second area (11).
- 13. Regarding claim 6, Shimono, as applied to claim 5, teaches a peripheral device comprising a data transmitter and antenna (Detailed Description, Paragraph 22).

Art Unit: 2674

- 14. Regarding claim 7, Zank, as applied to claim 1, further teaches a victim loop having a solenoid shape (36).
- 15. Regarding claim 8, Shimono (Drawing 2), as applied to claim 1, teaches comprising one or more additional source loops (Ly).
- 16. Regarding claim 10, Shimono (Drawing 17), as applied to claim 1, teaches a data transmitter coupled to the peripheral device (7) and a data receiver coupled to the base unit (8).
- 17. Regarding claims 11 and 12, Shimono, as applied to claim 10, teaches a radio frequency data transmitter and receiver (Detailed Description, Paragraph 22).
- 18. Regarding claims 14 and 23, Shimono (Drawings 1 & 12) teaches a system and method for supplying power to a computer mouse (1) comprising: a base unit (2) having a power signal input connectable to a power source (V1), a magnetic source loop (Ly), a victim loop (Lm) in the mouse coupled to a load circuit (R3) while the source loop is proximate to the computer peripheral device (Detailed Description, Paragraph 20).
- 19. Again, Zank teaches a positional input system comprising a helical or solenoid source loop (22) and therefore is a non-planar magnetic source loop (reference rejection of claim 1 above)
- 20. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the planer coil as taught by Shimono with the helical coil as taught by Zank for the benefit of creating a wireless mouse system utilizing helical coils in the pad and the mouse ultimately increasing accuracy, repeatability, range and resolution (for example: 1.00×10^{-3}).

Art Unit: 2674

- 21. Regarding claim 18, Shimono (Drawing 17), as applied to claim 14 above, teaches a bus (DAT, CLK, Vcc, Gnd), which powers a source loop signal generator (3) coupled to a magnetic source loop (Ly).
- 22. Regarding claim 19, Shimono, as applied to claim 18 above, teaches an oscillator (Detailed Description, Paragraph 11).
- 23. Regarding claim 20, Shimono, as applied to claim 19 above, teaches an oscillator which oscillates above 60 cycles per second (Detailed Description, Paragraph 18).
- 24. Regarding claim 22, Shimono (Drawing 17), as applied to claim 14 above, teaches a horizontally overlapped source loop (Lx) and victim loop (Lm).
- 25. Regarding claim 24, Shimono, as applied to claim 23 above, teaches a power signal that is a source loop driving signal (Detailed Description, Paragraph 21).
- 26. Regarding claim 26, Shimono (Drawing 17), as applied to claim 23 above, teaches a power signal coupled to a bus on a personal computer (DAT, CLK, Vcc, Gnd Grouping).
- 27. Claims 27 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over published UK Patent Application GB 2,314,470 to Tien in view of Zank.
- 28. Tien (Fig. 2 & 3) teaches a method of charging a rechargeable battery (24) in a computer mouse (30) that has a magnetic victim loop (21) coupled to a battery recharging circuit (20) comprising: creating a magnetic field by driving a magnetic source loop (14) with a magnetic source loop driving signal (13), causing the magnetic

Art Unit: 2674

field to interact with the magnetic victim loop in the mouse (Page 6, Lines 17-21), accepting a power signal from a power source (111), converting the power signal in to the magnetic source loop driving signal (11, 12, and 13), and generating an oscillating signal form the power signal using a pulse width modulation circuit (12). Though Tien teaches a planer coil.

- 29. However, Zank (Fig. 1) teaches a position input system that comprises a source loop solenoid (22, for example: column 8, lines 14 36) to generate a magnetic field (for example: column 8, lines 60+).
- 30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the magnetic source loop as taught by Tien with the helical coil source loop as taught by Zank for the benefit of creating a wireless mouse system utilizing helical coils in the pad and the mouse ultimately increasing accuracy, repeatability, range and resolution (for example: column 2, lines 30 34).
- 31. Claims 9, 13, 15, 21, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimono in view of Zank as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Tien.
- 32. Regarding claims 9, 13, and 15 Tien (Fig. 2) teaches rechargeable battery (24) and a recharging circuit (20) coupled between the victim loop (21) and the battery. Tien also teaches a peripheral device that is in operative condition when not inductively coupled to the base device (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Shimono in view of Zank with Tien in order to permit use of a peripheral

Art Unit: 2674

device when not in the proximity of the source loop by incorporating a rechargeable battery that is charged during the operation when inductively coupled to the source loop, further, it would have been obvious to couple the rechargeable battery to the load in order to drive the load.

- 33. Regarding claim 21, Tien (Fig. 3) teaches a docking cradle (40) having a battery recharging circuit (10). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Shimono in view of Zank with Tien for the benefit of charging the mouse when the mouse is not in use.
- 34. Regarding claim 25, Tien (Fig. 1) teaches a rectifying circuit (112) to rectify the power signal. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Shimono in view of Zank with Tien in order to convert an AC power signal in to a DC power signal.
- 35. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimono in view of Zank, as applied to claim 14 above, in view of US Patent 4,754,268 to Mori. Mori (Fig. 1) teaches a mouse with a positional circuit (20) and a wireless data transmitter (10) powered by a power source (Col. 2, Line 16). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Shimono in view of Zank with Mori for the benefit of an inductively powered wireless mouse that powers a positional circuit and a wireless data transmitter reducing the need for batteries in a wireless mouse.
- 36. **Claim 30** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tien in view of Zank, as applied to claim 28 above, in view of Shimono. Shimono (Drawing 17)

Art Unit: 2674

teaches accepting a power signal from a computer bus (DAT, CLK, Vcc, Gnd Grouping). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Tien in view of Zank with Shimono for the benefit of powering a computer mouse using power supplied by the computer to reduce the number of AC outlets required by a PC.

Conclusion

37. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2674

Response to Arguments

38. Applicant's arguments filed 8 March 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The objection to the specification is now withdrawn in view of the amendments.

The objection to the drawings is now withdrawn in view of the amendments.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 23, 27, and 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. With respect to these rejections, the applicant begins by stating that the prior art, namely Shimono does not teach or suggest a source loop solenoid, a non-planer magnetic loop, nor a solenoid victim loop however, Shimono and Tien teach using planer coils to accomplish a similar task and in view of the added prior art to Zank it would be an obvious deduction to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the coils to be non-planar solenoids for greater resolution as explained in the rejection above.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., Figure 8 where both the mouse and the mouse pad are aligned in the same horizontal plane) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Reading claim 22 the limitation of "horizontally overlapped" can relate closer to Figure 3 as opposed to figure 8 where the victim loop is on top of the source loop where

Page 10

horizontally overlapping is defined as partially covering, i.e. the mouse is partially covering the mouse pad.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Prizio whose telephone number is (703) 305-5712. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on (703) 305-4709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter **Prix**ic

Examiner Art Unit 2674

May 18, 2004

PP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2674

Page 11